

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/533,318	06/10/2005	Fabrizio Pucci	4462-14	5302	
	7590 07/26/200 NDERHYE, PC	. EXAMINER			
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203			LEYSON,	LEYSON, JOSEPH S	
ARLINGTON,	VA 22203	•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	PAPER NUMBER	
			1722		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

h.						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/533,318	PUCCI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Joseph Leyson	1722			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SH	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH	(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.			
WHI0 - Exte after - If N0 - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Densions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. TSIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 A	A <u>pril 2007</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)🖂	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 53-83 is/are pending in the application	on.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)🛛	Claim(s) <u>53-72 and 79-83</u> is/are allowed.					
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.		•			
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>73-78</u> is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicat	tion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is of	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen		tion No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage			
	application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis-	t of the certified copies not receiv	ed.			
Attachme		F				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
3) 🔲 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal				
Pap	er No(s)/Mail Date	6)				

Art Unit: 1722

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Claims 53-72 and 79-83 are allowed.

- 2. Claims 73-78 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claims 67-72, respectively. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).
- 3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art of record does not teach or reasonably suggest the device, as recited by claims 53-72, 79 and 80, for removing doses of plastic material from the extruder and delivering the doses to the forming apparatus in which the doses are compression-moulded, the device comprising the at least one removal element which is movable with respect to the extruder for removing the dose and is provided with the receptacle for receiving the dose removed from the outflow orifice of the extruder, the removal element comprising the severing element that is adapted to cut into the plastic material dispensed by the extruder along the severing edge that advances through the material from one side of the orifice to the other, the receptacle being shaped so as to accommodate the dose and allow expulsion thereof substantially in the direction of the severing edge, the receptacle retaining the dose while the at least one removing element moves from the first position, in which the at least one removing element interacts with the extruder, to the second position, in which the at least one removing element interacts with the forming apparatus, the receptacle depositing the dose into the recess associated to the

n . Ŝ

Art Unit: 1722

forming apparatus and defining the molding chamber for the dose, wherein the severing edge defines the leading portion of the receptacle which is more advanced than the trailing portion of the receptacle in the intended direction of movement of the severing element; or the device, as recited by claims 81-83, 81, for removing doses of plastic material from the extruder and delivering the doses to the forming apparatus in which the doses are compression-moulded, the device comprising the at least one removal element which is movable with respect to the extruder for removing the dose and is provided with the receptacle for receiving the dose removed from the outflow orifice of the extruder, the removal element comprising the severing element that is adapted to cut into the plastic material dispensed by the extruder along the severing edge that advances through the material from one side of the orifice to the other, the removal element being provided with the inlet passageway which is partially bound by the severing edge and through which the dose is receivable into the receptacle, wherein the removal element is further provided with the outlet passageway transversely oriented with respect to the severing edge and through which the dose may be expelled from the receptacle, the receptacle retaining the dose while the at least one removing element moves from the first position, in which the at least one removing element interacts with the extruder, to the second position, in which the at least one removing element interacts with the forming apparatus, the receptacle depositing the dose into the recess associated to the forming apparatus and defining the molding chamber for the dose.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably

Art Unit: 1722

accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

4. This application is in condition for allowance except for the formal matters, mentioned above (i.e., the claim objection).

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex* parte Quayle, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213, (Comm'r Pat. 1935).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Leyson whose telephone number is (571) 272-5061. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gupta Yogendra can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1722

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ROBERT DAVIS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1300 / 7000

Page 5

7/23/07